Analysis of Pel Decimation and Technology Choices to Reduce Energy on SAD Calculation

Ismael Seidel, André Beims Bräscher, Bruno George de Moraes, Marcio Monteiro, José Luis Güntzel

Embedded Computing Lab. (ECL) – Department of Informatics and Statistics - PPGCC Federal University of Santa Catarina (UFSC) - Florianópolis, Brazil e-mail: {ismaelseidel, andre.brascher, brunogm, marcio, guntzel}@inf.ufsc.br

ABSTRACT

As the number of pixels per frame tends to increase in new high definition video coding standards such as HEVC and VP9, pel decimation appears as a viable means of increasing the energy efficiency of Sum of Absolute Differences (SAD) calculation. First, we analyze the quality costs of pel decimation using a video coding software. Then we present and evaluate two VLSI architectures to compute the SAD of 4x4 pixel blocks: one that can be configured with 1:1, 2:1 or 4:1 sampling ratios and a non-configurable one, to serve as baseline in comparisons. The architectures were synthesized for 90nm, 65nm and 45nm standard cell libraries assuming both nominal and Low-Vdd/High-Vt (LH) cases for maximum and for a given target throughput. The impacts of both subsampling and LH on delay, power and energy efficiency are analyzed. In a total of 24 syntheses, the 45nm/LH configurable SAD architecture synthesis achieved the highest energy efficiency for target throughput when operating in pel decimation 4:1, spending only 2.05pJ for each 4×4 block. This corresponds to about 13.65 times less energy than the 90nm/nominal configurable architecture operating in full sampling mode and maximum throughput and about 14.77 times less than the 90nm/nominal non-configurable synthesis for target throughput. Aside the improvements achieved by using LH, pel decimation solely was responsible for energy reductions of 40% and 60% when choosing 2:1 and 4:1 subsampling ratios, respectively, in the configurable architecture. Finally, it is shown that the configurable architecture is more energy-efficient than the non-configurable one.

Index Terms: Video Coding; VLSI Design; Sum of Absolute Differences; Pel Decimation; Energy efficiency.

I. INTRODUCTION

Video compression is a computationally intensive task that usually demands high performance, which, by its turn, results from the real time constraints inherent to applications such as video capture. Besides baseband processing, which is responsible for the largest amount of consumed energy [1], internet browsing and media applications respond for a significant amount of energy consumption [2], [3], since they perform many picture/video coding and decoding operations. Moreover, the use of video compression is mandatory in current Personal Mobile Devices (PMDs), such as point-and-shoot cameras, smartphones and tablets. In those cases, reducing the number of video coding operations helps to prolong battery lifetime. Therefore, in some applications it might be necessary to sacrifice quality to meet timing and energy constraints.

In most codecs, each frame of a video sequence is divided into smaller blocks which are further submitted to Inter and Intra frame prediction techniques to explore temporal and spatial redundancies, respectively. Both predictions rely on coding the residue data (i.e., the differences) between similar blocks, and a few information about its reconstruction, like Motion Vectors (MV) or intra mode index. The so-called Motion Estimation (ME) occurs in Inter frame prediction and corresponds to the most computational intensive task of the whole compression process [4]. For each block, ME searches for a candidate block, which minimizes a similarity metric, to be used as reference for Motion Compensation (MC) to reconstruct the original block.

The Sum of Absolute Differences (SAD) [5] is the most widely used similarity metric because it relies only on basic arithmetic operations (addition, subtraction and module), thereby being fast. Moreover, its simplicity makes it very appropriate for VLSI (Very-Large Scale Integration) coder implementations. Nevertheless, the number of SAD operations required for video compression increases dramatically when high and ultra-high definition video formats become widely adopted. In Fig. 1 it is possible to notice such increase in the number of pixels/frame, which further reflects in both the number of pixel blocks to encode and in the number of candidate blocks. This turns performance and power consumption requirements of



Figure 1. Number of pixels in a single frame (×10K) according to frame resolution. Note that ordinates are presented in logarithmic scale.

VLSI SAD engines even more stringent. A pragmatic strategy to circumvent this problem is the adoption of the so-called pel decimation algorithm [6].

In pel decimation, the pixels are sampled over the search area according to a chosen subsampling ratio. Such subsampling improves the performance of the encoding, but it lowers the correlation between candidates, resulting in a decrease of the prediction quality. Aside the evident performance improvements and energy savings achieved in the computation of similarity metric, pel decimation has less impact on quality when applied to higher definition videos [7] and thus, it tends to be still more beneficial for the forthcoming video standards such as HEVC [8] and VP9 [9]. It is also important to observe that pel decimation does not dismiss the use of an efficient search algorithm, but should be used in conjunction with it to achieve more significant speedups and power savings. Indeed, several pel decimation-based search strategies are found in the literature, such as APS [10], GEA [11] and QME [12].

In this paper a SAD VLSI architecture that can be configured to perform pel decimation is presented along with a *fixed* SAD architecture for comparison purposes. Its cost, performance and energy efficiency are evaluated for two throughputs (target and maximum) through delay and power estimates obtained after logic synthesis and simulation for 90nm, 65nm and 45nm commercial standard cell libraries. Both target and maximum frequencies versions of the two SAD architectures were also synthesized using low supply voltage and high threshold voltage (Low-Vdd/High-Vt) [13] in order to evaluate the impact of such low power techniques on area, performance and power.

The main contribution of this paper is the analysis of the impacts of pel decimation and Low-Vdd/ High-Vt on SAD calculation. Such impacts encompass in-software video quality and area, power, throughput and energy efficiency for all 24 syntheses variants. The paper is organized as follows. Section II describes the SAD calculation and its relevance in video coding, introduces pel decimation and discourses about its effect on quality. The synthesized architectures are described in Section III. Section IV outlines our experimental setup and the achieved synthesis results. Conclusions are drawn in Section V.

II. SAD AND PEL DECIMATION

The Sum of Absolute Differences (SAD) for a $M \times N$ sized pixel block is obtained as expressed in Equation 1, where *Ori* is the original block, i.e, the one being coded, and *Can* is the candidate block under evaluation. Due to its simplicity, SAD has been extensively used as similarity metric in search algorithms for ME implementations.

$$SAD_{M \times N} = \sum_{i=0}^{M} \sum_{j=0}^{N} |Ori_{i,j} - Can_{i,j}|$$
 (1)

Considering the Fullsearch Block Matching Algorithm (FBMA) [14], which is recognized as the one providing the best matching results [12], the similarity has to be evaluated for each candidate block within the chosen search area and therefore, the use of a simple metric such SAD is essential to keep the computational effort as small as possible. To demonstrate how large is such effort, we chose to evaluate two figures of merit: execution time and number of computations.

To obtain these figures, we used GNU profiler version 2.22 (Gprof) [15], which is one of the most used profiling tools and it is found in almost every Unix-based operating systems. Gprof measures only the time spent by each function using a sampled execution histogram, without counting the operating system time. Therefore, the run-time figures provided by Gprof are based on sampling process, which means that they are subject to statistical inaccuracy. On the other hand, instead of sampling, the number-of-calls figures are derived by counting, i.e, insertion of a count instruction in every function call. Hence, such counting is completely accurate and for a given deterministic program with a defined set of inputs it will always result in the same count value [16].

The profiling was performed over the H.264/ AVC [17] reference software (JM) version 18.5 [18], coding 50 frames of the video sequence called "Pedestrian Area", with resolution of 1920x1080 pixels and frame rate of 25fps [19]. To reduce the statistical inaccuracy of run-time measurement of Gprof each experimental configuration was run 10 times. We used search areas (SAs) of (-16,+16], (-36,+36], (-56,+56], (-92,+92] and (-128,+128] pixels and also several configurations of search algorithms: FBMA, Fast FBMA, Unsymmetrical-cross Multihexagon-grid Search (UMHexagonS) [20], Simplified UMHexagonS (SUMHexagonS) [21] and Enhanced Predictive Zonal Search (EPZS) [22]. All the 250 experiments were run over Linux version 3.2.0-4-amd64 #1 SMP Debian 7.0 3.2.39-2 64 bits. The machine configuration was Intel® Xeon® E5620@2.40GHz with 12GB of RAM. The EPZS algorithm with SA (-16,+16] requires the lowest number of SAD calculations among the experimented search algorithms: \sim 23 million for each frame in average. On the other hand, the most intensive search algorithm was FBMA, requiring an average of \sim 160 million SAD calculations for each frame with SA (-16,+16] (\sim 7× more than EPZS).

The absolute values of SAD execution time are presented in Fig. 2, except the ones of FBMA, which are too large for a direct comparison with the others. In general, in Fig. 2, one can notice an increasing SAD time for SUMHexagonS and UMHexagonS as the SAs increases, which is also the behavior of FBMA, ranging from \sim 38min to \sim 6.5h. In the case of EPZS the SAD time is almost constant, which means that such algorithm is almost independent of SA. In the case of Fast FBMA, the SAD time greatly vary, which is mainly caused by the rearrangement performed prior to search as to decrease the total number of candidates (hence the name of "fast").

Analyzing the results of percentual execution time, it was noticed only a small data variation caused by the search window size, which impacts not only on the SAD calculation, but also on the overall algorithm behavior. Therefore, for a given search algorithm, the percentual time of SAD is almost constant disregarding the search range, as can be seen in Table I, which shows the arithmetic mean of percentage SAD time, for each analyzed search algorithm. It also shows their



Figure 2. Average SAD run-time (s) results for all analyzed ME search algorithms.

Table I. Arithmetic Mean (μ), Standard Deviation (σ) and Coefficient of Variation (CV) of % Execution Time According to ME Search Algorithm.

	FBMA	Fast FBMA	UMHexagonS	SUMHexagonS	EPZS
μ	74.43	10.447	41.67	56.18	35.67
σ	3.9	9.270	2.34	3.54	0.48
CV	0.052	0.217	0.056	0.063	0.013

respective standard deviations and coefficient of variation, the latter being the ratio of the standard deviation σ to the mean μ (σ/μ) and measures the data variability related to its mean.

In Table I we can notice that the largest CV among all ME algorithms are the one of Fast FBMA. Aside Fast FBMA, all others present very small CV values, indicating that the SAD relative (%) execution time strongly depends on the search algorithm. Last but not least, it is noticeable the large amount of the total percentage encoding time taken by SAD calculations, even for fast algorithms such as EPZS. A broader analysis that includes SAD results for x264 (H.264/AVC) [23], HM (HEVC) [24] and libvpx (VP9) [9] is presented in [25].

Knowing how large is the number of similarity calculations during ME and its relative execution time within the whole compression process, it is straightforward to understand the adoption of SAD instead of more elaborate metrics. In [26], Chen et al. present a 720p H.264/AVC [17] coder architecture in which the SAD calculation responds for 33% of gate count. In [27] Liu and Zaccarin describe a variable block size ME architecture in which the SAD engine corresponds to roughly 79% of total gate count. Considering the intensive use of SAD operations and the pressure for more energy-efficient coder implementations, the design of low-power SAD architectures is of utmost importance for video compression. In [28] and [29] high performance SAD architectures are presented. Unfortunately, these works do not take into account aspects of power and energy efficiency.

A more accurate analysis of SAD architectures is presented by Walter and collaborators [30]-[32]. Several 4×4 pixel SAD architectures were synthesized with a variety of parallelism and pipeline configurations. In order to further reduce the energy expended per SAD calculation the authors also synthesized the architectures for target frequencies. These target frequencies were chosen aiming a throughput of one million (1 M) macroblocks/s which, according to the authors, is the necessary throughput for coding a 1080p video with 30 fps in real time. They reported the 4×4 pixel (16 input) architecture with higher degree of parallelism and less pipeline stages as the most energy-efficient one. Also according to the authors of [30]-[32] the pipeline registers are the main contributors to power consumption.

As an attempt to reduce the number of calculations during ME, several works have proposed algorithms that restrict the searching area. Another effective means of reducing this number relies on the use of Pel Decimation algorithm [6], [12], [27], [33], [34], which can be used in conjunction with the latter approach. In pel decimation the pixels are taken regularly over the search area, resulting in a subsampling [6]. When pel decimation is applied, a decrease in prediction quality may occur, since the correlation of each pixel in the block is lost.

A. Quality Assessment of Pel Decimation

In [7] a detailed quality evaluation of several pel decimation patterns and ratios is presented. The authors performed a statistical analysis on the final encoded video quality (expressed both as PSNR [5] and DSSIM [35]) using analysis of variance (ANOVA). For the two pel decimation ratios considered in the present work (2:1 and 4:1), the authors of [7] reported a maximum of 2.5% PSNR and 8.2% DSSIM loss (in average) for 2:1 patterns with respect to full sampling and 2.8% PSNR and 14.1% DSSIM loss for 4:1 patterns, also with respect to full sampling. Such percentages are within a 95% confidence interval and consider only comparison of videos that have the same bitrates. Most important, the work in [7] also demonstrates that as video resolution increases the quality losses resulted from higher pel decimation ratios becomes more negligible.

In [37] the Joint Collaborative Team on Video Coding (JCT-VC) defines a recommendation of common test conditions. In such recommendation, one must evaluate the Bjøntegaard Delta PSNR (BD-PSNR) or the BD-Rate in six different video sample classes (A-F). Class A has four WQXGA (2560×1600) sequences. Two of them, called Nebuta and Steam Locomotive Train, have 300 frames and bit depth of 10-bit per sample. Such bit depth comes from the requisition in [38], aiming support for the color space of recommendation BT.2020 [39], to be used in ultra high definition television. The other two samples (Traffic and People on Street) in this class have only 150 frames and 8-bit per color sample. Class B has five Full HD (1920×1080 pixels) video samples. Class C has four 480p samples. Class D has four 416×240 samples. Class E has three 720p video samples, being all of them at 60fps. The sequences of this class have a few movements. Class F have four sequences with different resolutions.

Although the common test conditions [37] define 22, 27, 32 and 37 as the quantization parameter (QP) values to be used, we have followed the BD-Rate proposal document [40], which defines the use of 16, 20, 24 and 28 as QP values.

Table II gives the average BD-Rate loss (%) for each coding class defined in JCTVC-K1100 [37]. Class A, whose rate-distortion (RD) curves are shown in Fig. 3 for each of its video samples, presents the lowest 2:1

Table II. Average BD-Rate (%) for Each Class in a GivenSubsampling (2:1 or 4:1) with Relation to Full Sampling.

Class	A	В	С	D	E	F
2:1	2.51	5.44	5.23	6.77	9.86	12.80
4:1	6.60	12.33	10.15	12.71	22.47	22.89



Figure 3. Rate-distortion (RD) curves of Class A video samples. Once such curves are all according to recommendation VCEG-AI11 [36], each vertical line indicates a change of 5.6% in bitrate and each horizon tal line represents a change of 1/4 dB in PSNR.

losses with just 2.51%. This result corroborates the use of subsampling at high spatial resolution. Belonging to this class, the Steam Locomotive Train test sequence showed even coding-efficiency gain of 4.64% (2:1) and 6.35% (4:1). This can be seen in Fig. 3(d), where for higher bitrates the PSNR of a subsampled coding was increased over the result of a full sampling coding. Certainly it was a rather unexpected result, but Pierre [41] shows that bit-depth increase provides greater accuracy for prediction processes involved during video coding, like compensation, intra prediction and in-loop filtering. These improvements are highest in shallow textures and low noise. Thus, it explains in part the difference between Steam Locomotive Train and Nebuta (Fig. 3(c)), which presented bitrate losses of only 0.41% (2:1) and 1.38% (4:1). The remaining sequences in class A showed an increase of $\sim 6\%$ (2:1) and $\sim 14\%$ (4:1) in bitrate.

Classes B and C have the same overall performance with BQTerrace and PartyScene samples showing minimal losses in both subsampling cases. Class D outliner is RaceHorses with 16.53% and 25.22%. This result is explained by the high motion content in this sequence. Other sequences in this class have losses from 1.31% until 7.15% (2:1) and from 5.72% until 12.93% (4:1). Class F has BasketballDrillText as outliner with 2.01% and 5.94%. The average of this class increases to 16.39% (2:1) and 28.55% (4:1) without this outliner.

Although the sampling pattern is not defined in the pel decimation algorithm, there is a tendency on using regular ones as those depicted in Fig. 4. Due to its effectiveness in reducing the number of calculations and high regularity, pel decimation reveals itself very appropriate for VLSI implementation.

III. SAD CONFIGURABLE ARCHITECTURE

We have designed two architectures for 4x4 pixel blocks SAD calculation: a configurable and a non-configurable one. The configurable architecture, referred to as *conf*, is able to compute the SAD either using all 4×4 input pixels of both blocks (i.e., full sampling) or by applying one among the three sampling ratios showed in Fig. 4(a)-4(c). On contrary, the non-config-



Figure 4. Full sampling (1:1) and examples of 2:1, 4:1 and 8:1 pel decimation common patterns. The filled circles are used for the SAD calculation. Adapted from [34].

urable architecture, referred to as *fixed*, was tailored to full sampling only. For its design, we employed a fully combinational datapath as such topology was pointed out by Walter and collaborators as the most energy efficient one. This way, the *fixed* architecture's datapath was tuned to operate with maximum parallelism considering only full sampling. A similar approach is presented in [42], where specific *fixed* architectures are presented for sampling ratios other than 1:1.

As shown by Walter and collaborators, the most energy-efficient SAD architectures use less registers and higher degree of parallelism. Considering a 4×4 pixel block, the best choice in terms of energy efficiency is the fully combinational architecture, which processes 16 pixels of each block in parallel, as already adopted for the *fixed* architecture. But when designing a configurable architecture there are two smaller block resolutions to take into account in addition to full sampling: one with eight pixels, for pel decimation 2:1 and another one with only four pixels, for pel decimation 4:1. In the latter case there are only four parallel inputs (for each block) to maximize the energy efficiency (minimize energy consumption per operation). Having no small resolution cases, the architecture with four parallel inputs became the most suitable for minimizing the energy consumption when applying the maximum subsampling. A 12-bit output register must also be added along with an extra adder to the combinational SAD tree, as shown in Fig. 5. In



Figure 5. SAD datapath view.

such datapath, the configurability is achieved by stopping the SAD accumulation earlier.

Specific Finite State Machines (FSMs) were designed to control the datapaths of conf and fixed, as shown in Fig. 6. Concerning the *conf* architecture (Fig. 6(a), each time state

CALC is executed, four pixels of each block (original and candidate, as in Equation 1) are processed. Thus, when operating in full sampling mode, the SAD of all 16 pixels requires four executions of state CALC. Signal zero indicates the fourth execution of CALC and the FSM then changes to state DONE. For the *fixed* architectures (Fig. 6(b)), the CALC state is always executed only once, and all pixels are processed, according to subsampling ratio of the architecture. Hence, states LOAD (architecture config) and DONE (both architectures) are synchronization states.

Due to the intensive number of computations, those architectures require a large number of memory accesses, which can take too long to be accommodated within a single clock cycle. And in such cases, for architecture conf, the FSM stays in LOAD state until it receives a *loaded* signal, which will enable it to proceed to CALC state. This is not needed for *fixed* architectures, once IDLE state have almost equivalent behavior: the next SAD must only begin (*init* signal) after all pixels are available at the correct inputs. For both FSMs, DONE state waits for an acknowledge signal (*ack*) from the ME control.

Ideally, the 2:1 and 4:1 pel decimation ratios could provide 50% and 75% reductions in total execution times, respectively. However, when a single execution of each of the two synchronization states is considered, those reductions become 40% and 60%, respectively. In short, when using pel decimation 2:1 the speedup with respect to full sampling is 40%, whereas pel decimation 4:1 results in 33% of speedup with respect to pel decimation 2:1. In comparison to the full sampling, pel decimation 4:1 results in 60% of

LOAD CAL IDLE CALC DON ack init DONE init (b) Fixed Architecture FSM

(a) Configurable Architecture FSM.

Figure 6. Finite State Machines (FSMs) for configurable and fixed architectures. The first presents its configurability through the number of executions of states LOAD and CALC. On the other hand, the fixed architecture obtain the SAD at once during state CALC.

Journal of Integrated Circuits and Systems 2014; v.9 / n.1:48-59

speedup. Those proportions are kept when considering energy efficiency, as presented in Section IV.

As already mentioned, it is important to consider access times of a coupled memory when designing low power systems. Most video coding systems use a memory hierarchy composed by an external DRAM (to store the video frames) and internal SRAMs (usually to store the SA) [43], [44]. In [45]-[47] it is shown that current SRAM memories, even in low power mode, have access times in the range of 0.4ns to 3.4ns. Also, their power consumption vary greatly in accordance with access times. A specialized sample driver should run at least with double frequency than SAD tree to maximize energy efficiency. This driver aggregates data for the SAD calculation in accordance with a subsampling pattern and ratio. Considering the 3.4ns access time of the slower low power memory. the recommended frequency for the considered conf SAD architecture should be smaller than 147 MHz. For maximum throughput the fastest memory should be used, and the maximum frequency of the conf SAD architecture should be less than 1.25 GHz.

IV. SYNTHESIS

The fixed and conf SAD architectures were described in Verilog and logically synthesized with Synopsys Design Compiler (DC) [48] for 90nm, 65nm and 45nm TSMC standard cell libraries for two operation/threshold voltages, nominal ("NN") and Low-Vdd/High-Vt ("LH"), and for two operation frequencies, target ("tar") and maximum ("max"). The resulting 24 experiments are depicted in Fig. 7, where each cube is a representation of all synthesis configurations in a given node (90nm, 65nm and 45nm); In the cubes' bases are all maximum throughput (max) configurations, while in the cubes' upper sides are all target throughput (tar) configurations; The west sides of each cube represents the nominal voltage (NN) syntheses, whereas the east sides represents the Low-Vdd/ High-Vt (LH) syntheses; Finally, the frontal faces are experiments using the *fixed* architecture, whereas the cubes' backs are the experiments using the configurable architecture (conf). The enumeration of all experiments



Figure 7. Representation of the four dimensional experimental setup, totalizing 24 syntheses.

(e1 to e24) will be further followed when presenting the synthesis results.

All syntheses were constrained as follows. The input and output delays were conservatively limited to 60% of the clock period. The maximum primary input capacitance was set to 10 times a 2-input AND gate whereas the maximum primary output capacitance was set to 30 times a 2-input AND gate. To obtain realistic timing, area and power estimates we have used Synopsys DC in the so-called Topographical mode, which, according to its developer manual [49], has a tight correlation with post-layout timing, area and power.

The devised target throughput was 1 million macroblock/s. Such throughput is the same considered in [30]-[32], claimed as enough to encode a 1080p video with 30fps. The maximum throughput corresponds to the maximum one an architecture can achieve when synthesized for a given technology node and a given operation voltage with no timing violations.

Fig. 8 shows the synthesis and simulation flow. For each architecture a testbench was also described in Verilog, having in mind two purposes: validate the architecture and obtain the switching activity file (SAIF) for each synthesized netlist. To obtain realistic vectors to simulate with Synopsys VCS [50] we have run the x264 encoder with full HD sequence "Pedestrian Area" [19]. Although a total of 651,359,478 4×4 SAD vectors were generated, we applied only 1 million vectors to limit the simulation runtime. For each architecture one specific handler was programmed in C to interface between the vector file and the Verilog testbench.



Figure 8. Synthesis and simulation flow executed for each designed architecture.

A. Synthesis Results

Fig. 9 shows the area results for both *conf* and *fixed* SAD architectures. It is possible to observe that, in all experiments, circuits synthesized for the target throughput are on average 45.73% smaller than those synthesized for maximum throughput (type of comparison marked as "A" in Fig. 9). This is because the target throughput (shown in Tables III and IV) and thus, the critical delay constraint for target is met by using slower cells (i.e., cells with lower drive capabilities), which are smaller than their faster counterparts.

Comparing NN and LH (marked as "B" in Fig. 9) for target throughput, we observed that the syntheses for LH have led to almost the same area (\sim 0.2%) for all technologies and architectures, whereas for maximum throughput syntheses, LH resulted in area increases of 1.82% (e3-4), 0.71% (e7-8), 5.97% (e11-12), 15.61% (e15-16), 5.62% (e19-20), 3.10% (e23-24). Broadly speaking, such area increases are due to the fact that cells in LH are slower than their NN equivalents and thus, faster LH cells (which are larger) must be employed to meet the critical delay constraints. Also, transformations such as logic duplication may be employed in the synthesis to reduce the fanout of



Figure 9. Area results for both architectures. The results are organized as pointed in Fig. 7. Three types of comparisons were made, as illustrated by the arrows for the 90nm technology: A - Throughput; B - Supply and Threshold Voltage; C - Architecture.

 Table III. Maximum Frequencies (MHz), Throughput (Mblock/s)

 and Period Degradation (%) for Fixed Architecture.

	90nm	65nm	45nm
NN	699.45/14.57	1174.31/24.46	1454.55/30.30
LH	504.93/10.52	941.18/19.61	1094.02/22.79
degr.(%)	27.81	19.85	24.79

Table IV. Maximum Frequencies (MHz), Throughput (Mblock/s)and Period Degradation (%) for *Configurable* Architecture.

	90nm	65nm	45nm
NN	867.80/5.42	1514.79/9.47	1882.35/11.76
LH	651.40/4.07	1261.08/7.88	1414.36/8.84
degr.(%)	24.94	16.75	24.86

Journal of Integrated Circuits and Systems 2014; v.9 / n.1:48-59

gates. Hence, concerning maximum throughput syntheses, the use of LH resulted in area increase for all technologies, with the 65nm technology exhibiting the largest area increase.

The fact that each circuit version has a specific maximum frequency makes a direct comparison between different technologies very difficult. However, by carefully analyzing Table IV one can observe that 65nm is the case with the highest maximum frequency improvement with respect to the immediately older technology (90nm, in this case). This indicates that the synthesis tool was able to better explore the solution space due to specific features of the *conf* architecture and of the 65nm library and hence, the synthesis for maximum throughput with LH for 65nm resulted in the least maximum frequency degradation (16.75%), calculated by using Equation 2, but at the cost of a 15.61% (e15-16) area increase, the largest among all LH syntheses.

$$degradation = 1 - \frac{Tmax_{NN}}{Tmax_{LH}}$$
(2)

On the one hand, considering only the SAD datapath, it would be expected an area increase of almost 4x, once the *fixed* architecture datapath must process four times as many pixels as the conf datapath. Furthermore, the fixed datapath has longer critical paths demanding greater efforts (and larger logic gates) in order to achieve the frequency constraints. On the other hand, the conf architecture needs a counter, a comparison unit and more complex logic in order to control the execution of the algorithm. Therefore, the results showed an average increase of 230.75% (marked as "C" in Fig. 9) in fixed architecture area with respect to conf, thus showing the impact of extra control complexity as well as the advantage of reducing the adder tree. It should be remarked that both architectures presented similar behavior throughout the synthesis space, where the ratio of *fixed* area to the configurable area is limited between 203.28% (e19-23) and 257.60% (e11-15), having its standard deviation of 3.71%.

Fig. 10 shows the absolute values of total power for both SAD architectures, whereas Fig. 11 shows dynamic and static power as percentages of total power. From Fig. 11 one observe that dynamic power is dominant for all cases and therefore, the total power is highly correlated with timing constraints. As long as the frequency of target throughput is at least four times and ten times smaller than the smallest maximum throughput frequency of *conf* and *fixed* architectures, respectively, it is expected that circuits for target throughput consume significantly less power than circuits for maximum throughput.

Considering the syntheses for target through-



Figure 10. Total power results.



Figure 11. Percentual (%) of dynamic and static power within total power.

put, slight power reductions were observed when LH was applied. It is important to notice that while dynamic power is dominant, leakage power is not negligible for 65nm and 45nm synthesized circuits with target throughput. In those specific cases the increase in Vt successfully reduced leakage po wer thus contributing to the total power reduction.

Considering the *conf* architecture, from Fig. 10 it becomes clear that the major benefits of LH were taken by the maximum throughput syntheses, being 27.25% (e7-8), 8.01% (e15-16) and 24.38% (e23-14) the total power reductions for 90nm, 65nm and 45nm technologies, respectively. Nevertheless, one should remind that LH produced a frequency degradation, as presented in Table IV and thus, the circuit critical delays are shorter for LH synthesis, which also contributes to reduce dynamic power. Considering the *fixed* architecture, both target and maximum throughputs took almost the same advantage of LH synthesis, resulting in an average of 23.70% power reduction with 4.55% standard deviation.

To evaluate the benefits of the *conf* SAD architecture, it is convenient to analyze the energy efficiency when operating with each of the three possible sampling modes (1:1, 2:1 and 4:1). Fig. 12(a) and 12(b) show the energy required by target and maximum throughput circuits, respectively, to process a 4×4 pixel block. Such energy values were computed Analysis of Pel Decimation and Technology Choices to Reduce Energy on SAD Calculation Seidel, Bräscher, Moraes, Monteiro & Güntzel





(b) Energy/block (pJ) for maximum throughput synthesis.

Figure 12. Energy/block according to architecture and sampling ratio.

by using Equation 3, where T is the clock period (derived either from target or from maximum), C is the number of cycles to process a block and P is the total power reported by Synopsys DC after proper simulation.

$$E = T \times C \times P \tag{3}$$

Concerning the *conf* architecture, as detailed in Section III, the smallest number of clock cycles required to process a 4×4 pixel block with 1:1, 2:1 or 4:1 sampling ratios are 10, 6 or 4, respectively, assuming a single execution of states IDLE, LOAD and DONE. Therefore, for any given experiment (synthesized for a combination of frequency, technology and Vdd/Vt) the energy reductions provided by 2:1 and 4:1 subsamplings with respect to 1:1 (full sampling) are 40% and 60%, respectively. Such reductions are easily observed in the graphics of Fig. 12(a) and Fig. 12(b). Analyzing Fig. 12(a), it becomes clear that for all target throughput syntheses the use of LH brought energy reductions.

By confronting Fig. 12(a) to Fig. 12(b), it is possible to see that the target throughput syntheses of *conf* are more energy efficient than their maximum throughput counterparts. The main reason for that is the low frequency of target (at most four times smaller than any maximum throughput frequency). Furthermore, since the period is the same for all target frequency versions (T=6.25ns) and the number of cycles is C={10, 6, 4} for 1:1, 2:1 and 4:1 sampling patterns, respectively, any energy reduction/increase must be a direct consequence of a reduction/increase in the total power (P) and hence, Fig. 12(a) roughly repeats the information for target frequency presented in Fig. 10. This explains the imperceptible energy reductions achieved by LH in 65nm and 45nm and the energy increase in 90nm.

As shown in Fig. 12(b), the maximum throughput syntheses of *conf* pay a significant price in energy and consequently, they could take some advantage of LH. However, for 90nm a slight energy reduction was observed (\sim 3.08%), once both power and frequency reduced almost by the same factor. On the other hand, the power reduction for 65nm was smaller than the frequency degradation, and thus energy has increased \sim 10.53%. Finally, for 45nm frequency degradation and power reduction were practically of the same order, resulting in a negligible energy increase of only \sim 0.69% which stands for an absolute difference of only 50fJ/block for 1:1 sampling.

For any technology, subsampling was able to provide energy reductions that are much more significant than those obtainable by synthesizing with LH. Such tendency is more prominent for the target throughput versions, where subsampling is also able to reduce the static power. Although LH may also be used in conjunction with pel decimation, the area overhead cannot be disregarded. On the other hand, for the future high-resolution video formats, the quality reduction arising from pel decimation tends to be less perceptible, as explained in Section II. Apart from that, the user/application may choose between video encoding quality or energy-savings.

By its turn, in its best execution scenario (assuming a single execution of states IDLE and DONE), the *fixed* architecture takes only three clock cycles for each SAD calculation. Once its period and power are also different of those achieved by the *conf* architecture, each case must be carefully analyzed.

For target throughput, the *conf* architecture is always more energy-efficient than the *fixed* architecture, but its relative differences are variable according to synthesis configuration. The higher difference was for 90nm/NN, where *conf* consumes 33.52% less energy than *fixed*, whilst the smallest difference was for 65nm/LH, with *conf* consuming 16.91% less energy than *fixed* architecture. The latter got the better use of maximum throughput syntheses.

Whilst *conf* had worse energy for 90nm at maximum throughput than for target, the *fixed* architecture had its energy reduced 5%. Moreover, in 45nm syntheses, apart from supply and threshold voltages slight variable results, the *fixed* architecture is more energy-efficient than *conf* (2.32% lower energy/ block). However, looking back to target throughput results, the *conf* architecture presents the better results (5.13pJ/block), even in a direct comparison with *fixed* architecture synthesized for maximum throughput (7.30pJ/block). Thus, the *conf* architecture is capable of further reducing energy, in this case until 2.05pJ/ block.

B. Comparison With Related Work

Among the existing related works, those of Walter [30]-[32] present results for SAD architectures that were synthesized for TSMC 180nm/NN and IBM 65nm/LH. The specific architectural features of those works were already addressed in Section II. A fair architectural comparison must use the same synthesis tool and constraints, which was done by using the so-called *fixed* architecture, architecture with the best results in Walter's work. On the other hand, a more direct comparison between our works is presented in Table V, which shows technology information and synthesis results (frequency, power and energy) of two Walter's architecture synthesis, along with one of our own (65nm/LH). Both chosen Walter's architectures are equivalent of our conf architecture, i.e., the 4-input sequential architecture without pipeline. Also, the comparison is for the same target throughput. Once the FSM of the conf SAD architecture has two more states (to LOAD and to wait to proceed) than Walter's architecture, our target frequency should be higher to achieve the same throughput.

One can notice that the *conf* architecture operating in full sampling mode presents a larger total power that of [31]. However, it should be also remarked that our *conf* architecture is able to further reduce the energy per block through subsampling (pel decimation), which is not possible in Walter's architecture. More specifically, while the architecture of [31] requires 6.48pJ/ block for 1:1 (only available sampling), the *conf* architecture needs 7.07pJ/block for 2:1 subsampling and just 4.72pJ/block for 4:1 subsampling, being the latter more energy-efficient than Walter's. Moreover, our power and energy figures were obtained through simulation, giving a more realistic dynamic power result.

Table V. Synthesis Comparison with Related Work

	[30]	[31]	OUR 65nm
Tech.(nm)	TSMC 180	IBM 65	TSMC 65
NN/LH	NN	LH	LH
Freq. (MHz)	66	66	160
Power (µW)	1276	108	188.65
1:1 (pJ/block)	76.56	6.48	11.79
2:1 (pJ/block)	_	-	7.07
4:1 (pJ/block)	_	-	4.72

V. CONCLUSIONS

Despite its simplicity, the SAD (Sum of Absolute Differences) plays a key role in video coding. Although several architectures for computing SAD may be devised, only low power ones are of actual interest to be integrated in Portable Mobile Devices (PMDs). In this paper we presented a *conf* SAD architecture that is able to process 4x4 pixel block in full sampling and in 2:1 and 4:1 pel decimation.

It was shown that by configuring the presented architecture in 2:1 and 4:1 pel decimation the energy spent for each block can be reduced by 40% and 60% with respect to full sampling, respectively. The highest energy consumption was 30.28pJ/block and occurred when the *fixed* architecture was synthesized for 90nm and nominal supply voltage (NN) aiming the target throughput. The lowest energy consumption was 2.05pJ/block and corresponded to the target throughput synthesis of *conf* architecture for 45nm Low-Vdd/ High-Vt operating with 4:1 subsampling. The 90nm/ NN target throughput *fixed* architecture synthesis spends nearly 13.65 times more energy per block than the *conf* 45nm target throughput synthesis.

Comparing with [31] e presented *conf* architecture achieved worse energy results for full sampling due to its FSM. On the other hand, the presented architecture can be configured to execute 2:1 and 4:1 pel decimation, the latter being responsible for the most relevant energy savings, with a small bitrate cost. Also, by simulating our *conf* architecture, our energy-figures are more realistic.

Synthesis results also shown that in some cases the impact of Low-Vdd/High-Vt for such a simple architecture can provide worse energy efficiency results, besides the increases in area and manufacture costs. Finally, the most remarkable is that by using pel decimation 2:1 one can achieve better energy efficiency than using Low-Vdd/High-Vt and by using pel decimation 4:1 one can have better energy than further advancing to a smaller and thus more expensive technology.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was partially supported by the Brazilian Federal Agency for the Support and Evaluation of Graduate Education (CAPES) and by the Brazilian Council for Scientific and Technological Development (CNPq) through "Brazil-IP Project", undergraduate research grants and PQ grant 309047/2012-9.

REFERENCES

- A. Pathak, Y. C. Hu, and M. Zhang, "Where is the energy spent inside my app?: Fine grained energy accounting on smartphones with eprof," in Proceedings of the 7th ACM European Conference on Computer Systems, ser. EuroSys '12. New York, NY, USA: ACM, 2012, pp.29–42.
- [1] H. Falaki, R. Mahajan, S. Kandula, D. Lymberopoulos, R. Govindan, and D. Estrin, "Diversity in smartphone usage," in Proceedings of the 8th International Conference on Mobile Systems, Applications, and Services, ser. MobiSys '10. New York, NY, USA: ACM, 2010, pp. 179–194.
- [2] C. Shepard, A. Rahmati, C. Tossell, L. Zhong, and P. Kortum, "Livelab: Measuring wireless networks and smartphone users in the field," SIGMETRICS Perform. Eval. Rev., vol. 38, no. 3, pp. 15–20, Jan. 2011.
- [3] F. Bossen, B. Bross, K. Suhring, and D. Flynn, "Hevc complexity and implementation analysis," IEEE Trans. Circuits Syst. Video Technol., vol. 22, no. 12, pp. 1685–1696, 2012.
- [4] I. E. G. Richardson, H. 264 and MPEG-4 video compression: video coding for next-generation multimedia. John Wiley & Sons Inc, 2003.
- [5] P. M. Kuhn, "Fast mpeg-4 motion estimation: Processor based and flexible vlsi implementations," The Journal of VLSI Signal Processing, vol. 23, pp. 67–92, 1999.
- [6] I. Seidel, B. G. Moraes, E. Wuerges, and J. L. Güntzel, "Quality assessment of subsampling patterns for pel decimation targeting high definition video," in 2013 IEEE International Conference on Multimedia and Expo (ICME). IEEE, jul 2013.
- [7] K. Ugur et al., "Low complexity video coding and the emerging HEVC standard," in Picture Coding Symposium (PCS). IEEE, Dec. 2010, pp. 474–477.
- [8] "Vp9." [Online]. Available: http://www.webmproject.org/vp9/
- [9] H.-K. Jung, C.-P. Hong, J.-S. Choi, and Y.-H. Ha, "A vlsi architecture for the alternative subsampling-based block matching algorithm," IEEE Trans. on Consumer Electronics, vol. 41, no. 2, pp. 239–247, may 1995.
- [10]Y.-W. Huang, S.-Y. Chien, B.-Y. Hsieh, and L.-G. Chen, An efficient and low power architecture design for motion estimation using global elimination algorithm. IEEE, may 2002, vol. 3, pp. III–3120–III–3123.
- [11] K. Lee, H. Chin, H. Hsu, and C. Jen, "QME: an efficient subsampling based block matching algorithm for motion estimation," in Proceedings of the 2004 International Symposium on Circuits and Systems, 2004. ISCAS '04, vol. 2. IEEE, May 2004, pp. II– 305–8 Vol.2.
- [12]M. Keating, D. Flynn, R. C. Aitken, A. Gibbons, and K. Shi, Low Power Methodology Manual - for System-on-Chip Design. Springer, 2007.
- [13] M.-J. Chen, L.-G. Chen, and T.-D. Chiueh, "One-dimensional full search motion estimation algorithm for video coding," Circuits and Systems for Video Technology, IEEE Transactions on, vol. 4, no. 5, pp. 504–509, Oct 1994.
- [14] Gprof, "GNU binutils," 2007. [Online]. Available: http://www. gnu.org/software/binutils/
- [15] J. Osier, "GNU Gprof manual," 1993. [Online]. Available: http://www.cs.utah.edu/dept/old/texinfo/as/gprof.html
- [16] ITU-T, "H.264 corrigendum 1," jan 2009.
- [17] JVT, "Jm joint video team reference software v18.5," 2013. [Online]. Available: http://iphome.hhi.de/suehring/tml/

- [18] Xiph.org, "Xiph.org test media repository," Available at: http:// media.xiph.org/, 2011.
- [19]Z. Chen, P. Zhou, and Y. He, "Fast integer pel and fractional pel motion estimation for jvt," Joint Video Team (JVT) of ISO/ IEC MPEG & ITUT VCEG (ISO/IEC JTC1/SC29/WG11 and ITU-T SG16 Q.6), Awaji Island, Japan, Proposal JVT-F017, Dec. 2002.
- [20]X. Yi, J. Zhang, N. Ling, and W. Shang, "Fast integer pel and fractional pel motion estimation for jvt," Joint Video Team (JVT) of ISO/IEC MPEG & ITU-T VCEG (ISO/IEC JTC1/SC29/WG11 and ITU-T SG16 Q.6), Poznan, Poland, Proposal JVT-P021, Jul. 2005.
- [21]A. M. Tourapis, "Enhanced predictive zonal search for single and multiple frame motion estimation," pp. 1069–1079, 2002.
- [22]L. Merritt, J. Garrett-Glaser, S. Walters, A. Mitrofanov, H. Gramner, and D. Kang, "Projeto x264," 2004. [Online]. Available: http://developers.videolan.org/x264.html
- [23] JCT-VC, "Hevc test model," 2013. [Online]. Available: http:// hevc.hhi.fraunhofer.de/
- [24]M. Monteiro, I. Seidel, and J. L. Güntzel, "Impacts of sad on execution time for high definition video codecs," in Proceedings of the 29th South Symposium on Microelectronics (SIM). SBC, May 2014.
- [25] T. Chen, S. Chien, Y. Huang, C. Tsai, C. Chen, T. Chen, and L. Chen, "Analysis and architecture design of an HDTV720p 30 frames/sH.264/AVC encoder," IEEE Trans. on Circuits and Systems for Video Technology, vol. 16, no. 6, pp. 673– 688, jun 2006.
- [26]Z. Liu, Y. Song, M. Shao, S. Li, L. Li, S. Goto, and T. Ikenaga, "32-Parallel SAD tree hardwired engine for variable block size motion estimation in HDTV1080P Real-Time encoding application," in 2007 IEEE Workshop on Signal Processing Systems. IEEE, oct 2007, pp. 675–680.
- [27] J. Vanne, E. Aho, T. D. Hamalainen, and K. Kuusilinna, "A high performance sum of absolute difference implementation for motion estimation," IEEE Trans. on Circuits and Systems for Video Technology, vol. 16, no. 7, pp. 876–883, jul 2006.
- [28] L. Yufei, F. Xiubo, and W. Qin, "A High-Performance low cost SAD architecture for video coding," IEEE Trans. on Consumer Electronics, vol. 53, no. 2, pp. 535–541, may 2007.
- [29]F. L. Walter, C. M. Diniz, and S. Bampi, "Synthesis and comparison of low-power high-throughput architectures for SAD calculation," in 2011 IEEE Second Latin American Symposium on Circuits and Systems (LASCAS). IEEE, feb 2011, pp. 1–4.
- [30] F. Walter and S. Bampi, "Synthesis and comparison of low-power architectures for sad calculation," 26th South Symposium on Microelectronics, pp. 45 – 48, Abril 2011.
- [31] F. Walter, C. Diniz, and S. Bampi, "Synthesis and comparison of low-power high-throughput architectures for sad calculation," Analog Integrated Circuits and Signal Processing, vol. 73, no. 3, pp. 873–884, 2012.
- [32] B. Liu and A. Zaccarin, "New fast algorithms for the estimation of block motion vectors," IEEE Trans. on Circuits and Systems for Video Technology, vol. 3, no. 2, pp. 148–157, Apr. 1993.
- [33] M. Porto et al., "High throughput hardware architecture for motion estimation with 4:1 pel subsampling targeting digital television applications," in Proceedings of the 2nd Pacific Rim conference on Advances in image and video technology, ser. PSIVT'07. Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer-Verlag, 2007, pp. 36–47.

- [34]Z. Wang, A. C. Bovik, H. R. Sheikh, and E. P. Simoncelli, "Image quality assessment: From error visibility to structural similarity," IEEE Trans. on Image Processing vol. 13 no. 4, p. 13, apr 2004.
- [35]G. Bjøntegaard, "Improvements of the bd-psnr model," Video Coding Experts Group (VCEG), Berlin, Germany, Document VCEG-AI11, Jul. 2008.
- [36] F. Bossen, "Common test conditions and software reference configurations," Joint Collaborative Team on Video Coding (JCT-VC) of ITUT SG16 WP3 and ISO/IEC JTC1/SC29/ WG11, Shanghai, Document JCTVC-K1100, Oct. 2012.
- [37] A. D. nas, A. Malamy, B. Olofsson, A. Ichigaya, S. Sakaida, S. Pejhan, L. Haglund, A. Luthre, P. Andrivon, P. Bordes, T. Jones, X. Ducloux, P. Gendron, M. Mrak, A. Cofler, J.-M. Thiesse, A. Rodriguez, P. Sunna, and I. Laksono, "On a 10bit consumer-oriented profile in high efficiency video coding (hevc)," Joint Collaborative Team on Video Coding (JCTVC) of ITU-T SG16 WP3 and ISO/IEC JTC1/SC29/WG11, Shanghai, Document JCTVC-K0109r6, Oct. 2012.
- [38] ITU-T, "Parameter values for ultra-high definition television systems for production and international programme exchange," International Telecommunication Union, Geneva, Recommendation BT.2020, Aug. 2012.
- [39]G. Bjøntegaard, "Improvements of the bd-psnr model," Video Coding Experts Group (VCEG), Austin, Texas, USA, Document VCEG-M33, Apr. 2001.
- [40] P. Larbier, "Using 10-bit AVC/H.264 encoding with 4:2:2 for broadcast contribution," Las Vegas Convention Center, Las Vegas, Nevada USA, Apr. 2011. [Online]. Available: http:// extranet.ateme.com/download.php?file=1114
- [41]I. Seidel, A. B. Bräscher, M. Monteiro, and J. L. Güntzel, "Exploring pel decimation to trade off between energy and quality in video coding," in 2014 IEEE Latin American Symposium on Circuits and Systems (LASCAS). IEEE, fev 2014.

- [42] T.-M. Liu and C.-Y. Lee, "Design of an h.264/avc decoder with memory hierarchy and line-pixel-lookahead," Journal of Signal Processing Systems, vol. 50, no. 1, pp. 69–80, 2008.
- [43] A. S. B. Lopes, I. S. Silva, and L. V. Agostini, "A memory hierarchy model based on data reuse for full-search motion estimation on highdefinition digital videos," Int. J. Reconfig. Comput., vol. 2012, pp. 2:2–2:2, Jan. 2012.
- [44]K. Zhang et al., "A fully synchronized, pipelined, and reconfigurable 50 mb sram on 90 nm cmos technology for logic applications," in Symposium on VLSI Circuits, 2003. Digest of Technical Papers., june 2003, pp. 253 – 254.
- [45] G. Fukano et al., "A 65nm 1Mb SRAM macro with dynamic voltage scaling in dual power supply scheme for low power SoCs," in Non-Volatile Semiconductor Memory Workshop, 2008 and 2008 International Conference on Memory Technology and Design. NVSMW/ICMTD 2008. Joint, May 2008, pp. 97 –98.
- [46] M. Qazi, K. Stawiasz, L. Chang, and A. Chandrakasan, "A 512kb 8T SRAM macro operating down to 0.57 V with an AC-Coupled sense amplifier and embedded data-retentionvoltage sensor in 45 nm SOI CMOS," IEEE Journal of Solid-State Circuits, vol. 46, no. 1, pp. 85–96, Jan. 2011.
- [47] Synopsys, "Synopsys design compiler, version F-2011.09-SP5-2." 2011.
- [48]—, "Synopsys's design compiler user guide, version C-2009.06." 2009.
- [49]—, "Synopsys VCS, version G-2012.09." 2012.