# SILICON FILM AND FRONT OXIDE THICKNESS EXTRACTION ON SOI DEVICES USING TWO DIFFERENT TECHNIQUES

Michele Rodrigues<sup>1</sup>, A. S. Nicolett<sup>2, 1</sup>, J. A. Martino<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Laboratório de Sistemas Integráveis – Universidade de São Paulo, Brazil <sup>2</sup>Faculdade de Tecnologia de São Paulo, Brazil E-mail: michele@lsi.usp.br, nicolett@lsi.usp.br, martino@lsi.usp.br

# ABSTRACT

This work presents two different techniques used for extraction of the silicon film and front oxide thickness. The first of them was developed for SOI transistors and the second for SOI capacitors. Both techniques exploit the influence of the front/back gate voltages on the conditions of the front and back interfaces. The comparison between the methods was done using numerical bidimensional simulations.

#### **1. INTRODUCTION**

Silicon-On-Insulator (SOI) technology has grown rapidly during the past years, mainly because this technology presents many advantages over bulk technology [1]. The SOI MOSFETs behavior is dependent on the silicon film thickness and the channel doping concentration. Three different types of devices exist: thick-film, medium thickness film and thin-film devices [2]. In thin-film devices, the silicon film can be fully depleted and in this case there is an interaction between the front and back interface charges.

# 2. PROPOSED TECHNIQUES

The first method studied in this work was proposed by Nicolett [3]. To determine the silicon film thickness  $t_{Si}$ , the  $I_{DS}$  vs.  $V_{GB}$  curve is obtained for a constant  $V_{GF}$  larger than  $Vth_{Facc2}$  on SOI transistors. Figure 1 shows the  $I_{DS}$  and  $\delta^2 I_{DS} / \delta V_{GB}^2$  curves as a function of the  $V_{GB}$ .



Figure 1:  $I_{DS}$  and  $\delta^2 I_{DS} / \delta V_{GB}^2$  curves as a function of  $V_{GB}$  for a constant  $V_{GF} > Vth_{F,acc2}$ .

The second order derivative curve of  $I_{DS}$  presents two peaks (maximum points) related to the condition changes of the back interface as a function of the back gate voltage. Using the values of the points (V<sub>GB</sub>)<sub>A</sub> and (V<sub>GB</sub>)<sub>B</sub>, the silicon film thickness t<sub>Si</sub> can be obtained by equation (1).

$$t_{\rm Si} = \frac{\epsilon_{\rm Si}}{C_{\rm oxb} \left( \frac{\left(V_{\rm GB}\right)_{\rm A} - \left(V_{\rm GB}\right)}{2\phi_{\rm Ff}} - 1 \right)} \tag{1}$$

To determine the front oxide thickness  $t_{oxf}$ , the  $I_{DS}$  vs.  $V_{GF}$  curve is determined for a constant  $V_{GB}$  larger than  $Vth_{B,acc1}$ . For these conditions, two maximum points can be found in  $\delta^2 I_{DS} / \delta V_{GF}^2$ . Using these two points the front oxide thickness can be extracted by equation (2). The point  $(V_{GF})_A$  represents the voltage where the front interface under the channel becomes inverted. The point  $(V_{GF})_B$  represents the voltage where the front interface under the channel becomes accumulated.

$$t_{\rm oxf} = \frac{\varepsilon_{\rm ox} t_{\rm Si} \left( \frac{\left( V_{\rm GF} \right)_{\rm A} - \left( V_{\rm GF} \right)_{\rm B}}{2 \phi_{\rm Ff}} - 1 \right)}{\varepsilon_{\rm Si}} \tag{2}$$

The second method was proposed by Sonnenberg [4]. The Figure 2 shows the SOI-MOS capacitor structure and the capacitance between the front gate and silicon film  $(C_{FC})$  that will be analyzed.



Figure 2: SOI-MOS capacitor and the capacitance between the front gate and silicon film ( $C_{FC}$ ).

This method uses the  $C_{FC} \ge V_{GF}$  curves for different values of toxf like showed in Figure 3.



Figure 3:  $C_{FC} \times V_{GF}$  curves with different values of  $t_{oxf}$ .

For  $V_{GF}$  sufficiently negative, the capacitance  $C_{FC}=C_1$ is the front gate oxide capacitance, independent of  $V_{GB}$ because the front interface is accumulated. This value C1 can be used to find the oxide film thickness  $t_{oxf}$  as shown in equation (3).

$$t_{\rm oxf} = \frac{\varepsilon_{\rm OX}}{C1}$$
(3)

To determinate the silicon film thickness  $t_{si}$  the curve  $C_{FC} \times V_{GB}$  is used, Figure 4. The point  $C_2$  is obtained as the intersection of the straight lines extrapolated on the back accumulation and the transition, between accumulation and depletion of the back interface regions. This point C2 is used in the equation (4) to determinate the silicon film thickness t<sub>Si</sub>.



Figure 4:  $C_{FC} \times V_{GB}$  curves with different values of  $t_{oxf}$ .

$$t_{\rm Si} = \varepsilon_{\rm Si} \, \frac{\rm Cl - \rm C2}{\rm Cl \rm C2} \tag{4}$$

#### 3. SIMULATIONS AND RESULTS

To compare the both techniques used for extraction of the silicon film and front oxide thickness, bidimensional numerical simulations were done with MEDICI [5]. The process parameters were: toxf from 15 to 30 nm, tsi from 70 to 100 nm.

For the 1<sup>st</sup> method:  $t_{oxb} = 80$  nm, silicon film doping concentration  $N_{af} = 1 \times 10^{17}$  cm<sup>-3</sup>, substrate doping concentration  $N_{ab} = 1 \times 10^{15}$  cm<sup>-1</sup>, substrate doping concentration  $N_{ab} = 1 \times 10^{15}$ , front and back oxide charge densities  $Q_{ox1} = 5 \times 10^{10}$  cm<sup>-2</sup> and  $Q_{ox2} = 1 \times 10^{11}$  cm<sup>-2</sup>. For the 2<sup>nd</sup> method:  $t_{oxb}=400$  nm,  $N_{af} = 1 \times 10^{17}$  cm<sup>-3</sup>,  $N_{ab} = 1 \times 10^{17}$  cm<sup>-3</sup>,  $Q_{ox1} = 10^{10}$  cm<sup>-2</sup>,  $Q_{ox2} = 5 \times 10^{10}$  cm<sup>-2</sup>.

Table I shows the results obtained of  $t_{oxf}$  and  $t_{Si}$  using the both methods.

Table I: Calculated values using the both methods

| Simulated               |                          | 1 <sup>st</sup> method |                       | 2 <sup>nd</sup> method |                       |
|-------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|
| t <sub>Si</sub><br>[nm] | t <sub>oxf</sub><br>[nm] | t <sub>Si</sub> [nm]   | t <sub>oxf</sub> [nm] | t <sub>Si</sub> [nm]   | t <sub>oxf</sub> [nm] |
| 70                      | 20                       | 68,26                  | 21,24                 | 67,70                  | 19,84                 |
| 70                      | 25                       | 69,46                  | 27,82                 | 68,22                  | 24,79                 |
| 70                      | 30                       | 68,26                  | 31,49                 | 67,67                  | 29,74                 |
| 80                      | 20                       | 79,24                  | 21,76                 | 75,55                  | 19,84                 |
| 80                      | 25                       | 77,68                  | 26,38                 | 75,51                  | 24,79                 |
| 80                      | 30                       | 79,24                  | 32,38                 | 75,47                  | 29,74                 |
| 90                      | 20                       | 90,11                  | 17,42                 | 82,23                  | 19,85                 |
| 90                      | 25                       | 88,10                  | 27,41                 | 82,84                  | 24,80                 |
| 90                      | 30                       | 90,11                  | 32,06                 | 82,63                  | 29,74                 |
| 100                     | 20                       | 99,20                  | 21,60                 | 88,77                  | 19,85                 |
| 100                     | 25                       | 101,70                 | 27,11                 | 88,92                  | 24,80                 |
| 100                     | 30                       | 101,70                 | 31,66                 | 89,02                  | 29,74                 |

The maximum error for  $t_{Si}$  is 2.48% using the 1<sup>st</sup> method and 11.23% for the 2<sup>nd</sup> method. On the other hand, the maximum error for  $t_{\rm oxf}$  is ~12.9% % using the  $1^{st}$  method and 0.86% for the  $2^{nd}$  method.

### 4. CONCLUSION

It has been presented two different techniques for extraction of the silicon film and front oxide thickness. The results were analyzed by bidimensional numerical simulations and they showed that the values obtained for  $t_{Si}$  using the 1<sup>st</sup> method are closer to the used in the simulations and that the values obtained for  $t_{oxf}$  using the  $2^{nd}$  method are closer to the simulations characteristics.

## **5. REFERENCES**

- [1] M. Yoshimi et al. Technical Digest IEDM, p. 429, 1994.
- J. P. Colinge, Silicon-on-insulator technology: materials to [2] VLSI. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Cap. 5, 1991.
- [3] A. S. Nicolett, J. A. Martino, E. Simoen, C. Claeys, Solid-State Electronics, v. 44, p.1961-1969, 2000.
- V. Sonnenberg, J. A. Martino. IEEE Latin American Test [4] Workshop. Rio de Janeiro, Brazil, March 13-15, 2000.
- [5] TMA MEDICI, version 4.0, Palo Alto-CA, 1997.